

# "W Dialogu" IT Platform

## Functional specification draft 0.2

Material for discussion

**actors:** official-coordinator (U-K), other officials (U), debate participants - citizens (M)

### **Step 0: – citizen account administration, official-coordinator administration, basic platform functionalities (technical infrastructure):**

(U-K), (M): setting up platform account (including authorization, verification of citizenship etc.)

(M): browsing the list of consultations

(M): applying for future consultations (date and time selection, topic selection)

### **Step 1: Consultation process planning**

(U-K) new consultation creation

(U-K) topic definition

(U-K) definition of goal of consultation with description (platform enforces minimum number of characters in description and other sensitive fields)

(U-K) defining other divisions relevant for consultation

(U-K) defining participants criteria: specifically number of participants and their characteristics (modifiers - <, >, =, list of features from system database, we assume possibility of synthetic generation of individual features matching global statistical profile)

(U-K) definition of main questions

(U-K) definition of schedule together with debates (types, dates, duration)

(U-K) consultation description edition (while drafting)

(U-K) signing off the consultation draft (once approved, the consultation cannot be deleted by U-K)

### **Step 2: Preparation of informational materials**

(U-K): creation of descriptions for questions from step 1 – text editor with versioning capabilities (other possibility – *wiki* - was ruled out after discussions with FwiOO rep.)

(U-K): creation of questionnaires (if planned for debates)

(U-K): creation of description with audiovisual materials (carried out by external tools via

embedding)

(U-K): creation of descriptions with GIS data (as above)

(U-K): creation of lists of external experts

(U), (M), (U-K): commenting of whole descriptions (all types)

(U), (M), (U-K): commenting of text fragments in descriptions (but this might not be possible due to technical constraints related to people with certain disabilities)

(U), (M): submitting proposals of new questions / issues

(U), (M): browsing list of proposed questions / issues

(U), (M): voting for proposed issues (*this is subject to debate*)

(U-K): approving of submitted descriptions / issues / proposals (further commenting / editions is not possible)

(U-K): data export (for NGO, databases, print etc.) - *subject to debate*

### **Step 3: Participants recruitment**

(U-K): Creation of list of participants (according to criteria from step 1)

(U-K): list of participants edition

(U-K): external data import (eg. from NGO databases)

(M): participants auto selection (via citizen UI)

(U-K): management of recruitment process (eg. phone / email / status monitoring etc.)

(M): management of debate recruitment

### **Step 4: Tools creation**

(U-K): creation of data for *fora* and voice debates (mostly automatic by the system)

(U-K): selection of debate participants (from list created in step 3)

(U-K): selection of debate moderators

(U-K): approval of debate dates

### **Step 5: Information for participants**

(M): dissemination of information (via WWW)

(U-K): browsing of participants lists

### **Steps 6, 7, 8: Debates, analysis of results and report creation – for later implementation**

*tools:*

(U-K), (M) – voice forums, text forums (synchro and asynchronic)– for implementation at later date i/or via external tools

(U-K) – argumentation mapping tool – for later implementation

(U-K), (M) – final report tools – text editing and commenting tools similar to technologies used in step 2.